

BJA FY 10 Wrongful Conviction Review Program
Narrative
CFDA:16.746
ON:BJA-2010-2462
ObjectForce
Christopher Roller
TruthUnderOath Service

Statement of the Problem

The problem is: DNA cannot exonerate everyone in prison. Past mistakes by the legal system result in wrongful convictions. Sometimes DNA is erroneous or non-existent. Eye-witnesses can also be erroneous pre/post conviction. So how do we determine guilt or innocence?

TruthUnderOath (TUO) can exonerate the wrongfully convicted. www.TruthUnderOath.com is in its infancy (since 2007), so I have no cases of prisoners freed, but with your grant, I estimate a dozen people will be exonerated in the first month. I have past civil cases, to show you as evidence, where defendants are unable to deny felony accusations, inferring guilt. TUO is already in place, is very simple (almost too simple to believe), and uses the current legal system, and is 100% accurate when properly conducted.

Program Design and Implementation

Christopher Roller is a master mentalist. Have you ever seen the Amazing Kreskin? <http://www.amazingkreskin.com>. Like Chris, Kreskin is a mentalist. Through mentalism and the power of suggestion, he can prevent a person from raising a subjects arm, or prevent him from speaking. So I while studying books and DVDs for years, and through the same methodology, through mentalism and power of suggestion, I prevent people from lying under oath now. I call it the Truth under Oath service, designed to provide the truth for those who need

it. I prevent a person from signing their signature if the document contains lies, and I stop a person from speaking if it is going to be a lie. And it's global, wherever oath is administered.

What I'm saying is that perjury is now obsolete.

You might be asking, "Prove this works. Show me how it works."

I will prevent you from signing the following statements.

First, the subject is told he can keep the document he's about to sign so he can discard it (burn it).

The subject is then asked to sign the following **truthful?** statement before a notary public,

I do hereby swear and declare under oath

Many (>1000 people) can lie under oath (without punishment) if they want to. In other words, perjury is possible.

Sworn before me and notarized by _____ dated _____

But the subject doesn't sign it because he can't, because it's a lie. Perjury is no longer possible.

The subject is then asked to sign the following lie (or make up your own) before a notary public, to prove the previous statement true.

I do hereby swear and declare under oath,

My name is John Doe and I am 8'0" tall.

Sworn before me and notarized by _____ dated _____

But the subject doesn't sign it because he can't because it's a lie.

The subject is then asked to sign the following before a notary public,

I do hereby swear and declare under oath

Chris Roller is not responsible for "Truth under Oath" service.

Sworn before me and notarized by _____ dated _____

But the subject doesn't sign it because he can't because it's a lie. It's my service.

This works via mentalism and the power of suggestion.

What I'm saying, is that lie detectors, voice and stress analyzers, DNA, probes, etc. are no longer needed. Those techniques are erroneous and now obsolete. My service is guaranteed 100% accurate of the truth. Water boarding and other torture methods are not needed to find out if someone is lying. Just get them under oath.

For employee screening, take their resume, put "I swear under oath" at the top, and have the perspective employee notarize it at the bottom. If they can sign it, it's the truth. Can't sign it, and it means there is a lie in the document somewhere.

TUO is simple and inexpensive. Just get a sworn statement from the convict. Can't sign an exonerated statement, then he/she did the crime.

The funding of TUO by grants.gov will solidify a service as incredible as it is elusive. People aren't even aware that this service is in place. Not many try to lie under oath. TUO will revolutionize the justice system (it already is). I can show you cases I've been in, with statements of the accused unable to deny my accusations of felony behavior. TUO does not cough up the truth, but incredibly enough, prevents lies under oath. If you are accused, and say nothing (plead the 5th), you did it. Pleading the 5th does not incriminate you, but for exoneration, if you don't swear exoneration, then you don't go free.

Capabilities/Competencies

The system is currently implemented, extremely simple to use, and very powerful. My staff is any notary in the United States. Attorneys can monitor the system, and provide feedback to me. Any questions/comments can also be directed to me. Any notary can screen and evaluate the criminal with an exoneration statement. An attorney will need to litigate the affidavit in a courtroom to set the criminal free.

Impact/Outcomes, Evaluation, Sustainment, and Plan for Collecting Data for

Performance Measures

There is a very simple collection mechanism for analysis of the outcome. Simply notice who's not able to sign an exoneration statement, report the results back to me (ObjectForce / TruthUnderOath.com). Leads of notaries and attorneys can be used as key players for the communication of the TUO service. Currently the service is elusive and misunderstood. As

soon as the federal grant ends and the government understands how incredible, easy and reliable this service is, the money will be pouring in and the project prospering.

Number of people/experts consulted	Attorneys and notaries
Number of hours of forensic re-analysis services provided.	Each statement will, once written (2 hour), only take a few minutes to sign.
Number of hours of screening, evaluation, and litigation services provided.	1 man/day per convict per exoneration attempt. (Doesn't take into account court hearing.)
Percent increase in number of cases evaluated for potential wrongful convictions.	~100% of all inmates within 12 month.
Number of actual perpetrators identified through re-examination of evidence in handling post-conviction innocence claims.	>10,000 within 12 months.
Percent of cases in which actual perpetrators are identified through re-examination of evidence in post-conviction innocence claims.	~100%
Total number of cases in which evidence is re-examined as a result of post-conviction innocence claims.	~100%